



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/476662

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/476,662	06/07/95	KATZ	R 6646-101NF

REENA KUYPER  
NILSSON WURST AND GREEN  
707 WILSHIRE BLVD  
32ND FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90017

26M1/0806

BROWN, T EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2601	8

DATE MAILED:

08/06/96

*attached*

Please find ~~below~~ a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

*(See attached sheet)*

Serial Number: 08/476,662

-2-

Art Unit: 2601

The communication filed on May 15, 1996, is non-responsive to the prior Office action because (a) applicant did not specify the line or point of insertion of the amendment proposed for page 41 of the specification; (b) the first line of obviously amended claim 40 does not include the required "(Amended)" (see 37 CFR § 1.121(b), second sentence); and (c) applicant's remarks did not "[point] out the specific distinctions believed to render the [added claims 50-174] patentable over the references..." as required by 37 CFR §§ 1.111 and 1.119. Of the added claims, applicant has discussed with any specificity only claims 159 and 174, which however leaves the remainder (11 independent claims and 113 dependent claims) substantially undiscussed, thus clearly not in compliance with the rule.

Since the response appears to be *bona fide*, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete response, applicant is required to complete the response within a **TIME LIMIT** of **ONE MONTH** from the date of this letter or within the time remaining in the response period of the last Office action, whichever is the longer. **NO EXTENSION OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER EITHER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) OR (b).**

TWBrown  
August 3, 1996



THOMAS W. BROWN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 2601